

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/798,218	THURK, MARCEL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Andrew D. Kosar	1654	

**All Participants:**

(1) Andrew D. Kosar.

(2) Stephen Sullivan.

**Status of Application:** after 1<sup>st</sup> action

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 14 March 2006

**Time:** 10:00

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *Proposed examiner's amendment.*

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*potential claim objections and potential 112 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> rejections*

Claims discussed:

*all*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Authorization for an examiner's amendment was obtained for the amendments as enclosed to place the claims in condition for allowance. New claims 182-185 introduced to clarify the amendments. Claims were cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)